

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,094	11/14/2003	Ryoichi Kawai	1247-0524P	8224	
2292 75	90 11/08/2005		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			KIM, PETER B		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TALES CHOK	311, 111 220 10 07 17		2851		
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/712,094	KAWAI ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Peter B. Kim	2851				

	Examine	AILOIIIL					
	Peter B. Kim	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
I. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ace with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date							
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
B. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further of		TE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	• •	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for				
appeal; and/or			ille issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	* **	maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)				
 I. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. D. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amenoment	(FTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	anowabie ii oubinitice iii a soparate,	among mod amoname	in canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:			•				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.							
Claim(s) vigletted: 7-70. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
IO. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:	, , ,	PtoBK					
		Peter B. Kim Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 2851

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new issues are the proposed amendments to the claims.